

# REQUEST FOR COUNCIL ACTION



CITY COUNCIL MEETING DATE:

SEPTEMBER 18, 2018

TITLE:

**PUBLIC HEARING: HOLD SECOND HEARING REGARDING THE PROPOSED WARD RE-BOUNDARIES (STRATEGIC PLAN NO. 5, 1)**

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "D. G. ...".

CITY MANAGER

CLERK OF COUNCIL USE ONLY:

APPROVED

- As Recommended
- As Amended
- Ordinance on 1<sup>st</sup> Reading
- Ordinance on 2<sup>nd</sup> Reading
- Implementing Resolution
- Set Public Hearing For \_\_\_\_\_

CONTINUED TO \_\_\_\_\_

FILE NUMBER \_\_\_\_\_

## RECOMMENDED ACTIONS

Open and Close the second Public Hearing that will explain the re-boundary process, review the criteria used to modify Ward boundaries, and allow residents to submit their ideas for potential "communities of interest" to consider in the new boundaries.

## DISCUSSION

At its September 4, 2018 meeting, the City Council held the first public hearing regarding Ward boundaries. The culmination of these two Hearings will result in the development of any additional proposed new Ward map(s) for consideration at the subsequent Hearings scheduled on October 16, 2018.

At the conclusion of the next Public Hearing to be held on October 16, 2018, the City Council will have the ability to select the preferred Ward Map and introduce for first reading an ordinance adjusting the ward boundaries in accordance with that map at the October 30, 2018 Adjourned Regular Meeting of the City Council (see Exhibits 2 and 3).

The fifth and final Hearing scheduled for November 20, 2018 will be for the City Council to consider second reading and final adoption of ordinance setting new Ward boundaries. A timeline of events is attached as referenced in Exhibit 1.

The City Charter provides that an ordinance adjusting ward boundaries must be approved by a 2/3 affirmative vote requirement and be adopted no later than 120 days before an election in order to be effective for that election (see Exhibit 4).

While the City Charter limits ward boundary adjustments as needed to ensure equality of population among the wards, the settlement agreement approved by the City Council recognized the need to do so in order to comply with the California Voting Rights Act, which applies to both general law and charter cities.

## Re-boundary Standards

When deciding upon a proposed map, the City Council must keep in mind certain standards in order to comply with the law. The following are mandatory for any proposed map (see Exhibit 5):

- The Wards must be as nearly equal in population as may be (Elections Code § 21601). Exact equality of population is not required for the Wards, but they should strive to create Wards that have a total population deviation of no more than 10% between their most heavily populated Ward and the least populated Wards. *Gaffney v. Cummings*, 412 U.S. 772 (1973).
- Current Councilmembers shall not lose their current seat or allow a term limit reset for members that have served three consecutive terms of four-years each.

The City Council *may* also rely upon the following “traditional” re-boundary factors when deciding upon a map pursuant to Election Code §21601:

- Cohesiveness, continuity, integrity, and compactness of territory
- Community of interest
- Topography and geography

Finally, the following are additional criteria which are also *acceptable* to consider:

- Traditional boundaries
- Preservation of core of prior Wards
- Race may be a factor considered in establishing the districts, but it may not be the predominant motivating factor in creating the districts. *Miller v. Johnson*, 515 U. S. 900, 916 (1995). Race is a predominant factor if the legislature “subordinate[s]” other factors—compactness, respect for political subdivisions, partisan advantage, what have you—to “racial considerations.” *Id.*

The City has held four Community Meetings throughout the City and received input including the need for additional demographic data. The demographic data in Exhibit 6 includes both total population (based on the 2010 census) and the Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP) (based on the American Community Survey of the US Census). It must be noted that only total population will be used to determine the size or composition of Wards (refer to Exhibit 6).

Staff has made the following efforts to encourages the community to be engaged in the process - notices sent via Nixle Alert, Facebook posting, emailed notice to all neighborhood associations, school district, board and commission members, and religious institutions; also published legal notices in various newspapers and translated into Spanish, Vietnamese, Chinese and Korean. The information has also been posted on the City’s website located at <http://www.ci.santa-ana.ca.us/coc/ward-re-boundary.asp>

Anyone may submit a comment or provide “community of interest” for consideration in the development of new Ward boundaries. A web-based platform is available to submit a “Community of Interest” and located at: <https://santa-ana.maps.arcgis.com/apps/CrowdsourceReporter/index.html?appid=76c69f1d041842cd9c9eecd7de9f05df> All maps, suggestions and comments will be carefully reviewed and considered. Please submit on or before October 4, 2018 at 5:00 p.m. to ensure adequate review time to Santa Ana City Hall, Clerk of the Council, 20 Civic Center Plaza 8<sup>th</sup> Floor, Santa Ana, CA 92701 or via e-mail at [ecomments@santa-ana.org](mailto:ecomments@santa-ana.org).

This proposed re-boundary process is categorically exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act (“CEQA”) pursuant to Section 15320 – Class 20 (Changes in Organization of Local Agencies) of the CEQA Guidelines, California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Chapter 3, since it involves a proposed reorganization of the City Council Wards and does not change the geographical area in which previously existing powers are exercised.

### **STRATEGIC PLAN**

Approval of this item allows us to meet Goal #5. Community Health, Livability, Engagement & Sustainability, Priority #1, Establish a comprehensive community engagement initiative exhibit to expand access to information and create opportunities for stakeholders to play an active role in discussing public policy and setting priorities.

### **FISCAL IMPACT**

There is no fiscal impact associated with this action.

  
Maria D. Huizar  
Clerk of the Council

### **Exhibits:**

1. Timeline: Ward Re-Boundary Process
2. Current Ward Boundary Map
3. Proposed Draft Maps A-D
4. City of Santa Ana Charter Provisions
5. Elections Code Provisions
6. Additional Demographic Data




**EXHIBIT 1:****TIMELINE OF EVENTS  
WARD-RE-BOUNDARY PROCESS**

<b>DATE</b>	<b>DESCRIPTION OF EVENT / ACTION</b>
August 27	Published Notice of Community Meetings and Public Hearings in English, Spanish, Vietnamese, Chinese and Korean.  Notices sent to religious organizations, Neighborhood Associations, a City Press Release and website developed with information
August 30	COMMUNITY MEETING #1 - Thursday, August 30, 2018 at 10 a.m. at Iglesia De Dios Pentecostal, 1025 W. Memory Lane, Santa Ana, CA
September 4	PUBLIC HEARING #1 – City Council Meeting
September 6	COMMUNITY MEETING #2 - Thursday, September 6, 2018 at 6 p.m. at Southwest Senior Center, 2201 W. McFadden Ave., Santa Ana, CA
September 10	COMMUNITY MEETING #3 - Monday, September 10, 2018 at 6 p.m. at Delhi Community Center, 505 E. Central Ave, Santa Ana, CA
September 15	COMMUNITY MEETING #4 - Saturday, September 15, 2018 at 10 a.m. at Salgado Recreation Center 706 N. Newhope St., Santa Ana, CA
September 18	PUBLIC HEARING #2 - City Council Meeting. ( <i>Pre-Map Formation</i> )
September 19	Publish Public Hearing Notice MUST be published at least 7 days before Hearing. (To be published on ??? for Hearings on October 16 and October 30 <sup>th</sup> )
October 16	PUBLIC HEARING #3 – (Map Development Session) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Review Proposed Maps as published. If any changes, will need to republish (NOTE: requires 7 days of publication prior to Hearing and adoption)</li> <li>Adjourn Hearing #3 to Hearing #4 for further consideration.</li> </ul>
October 30	PUBLIC HEARING #4 – Tuesday, October 30, 2018 at 5:45 p.m. (Map Development Session Cont.) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Review Proposed Maps as published and considered at previous Meeting. If any changes, will need to republish (NOTE: requires 7 days of publication prior to Hearing and adoption)</li> <li>Approve first reading of Ordinance and authorize publication of Ordinance (<i>Requires five affirmative votes.</i>)</li> </ul>

October 31	Send Publication of Ordinance, including map(s) with new Ward boundary lines to OC Register for publication
November 20	PUBLIC HEARING #5 - Adoption (2nd reading) of Ordinance establishing New Ward Boundaries.
	Effective Date of Ordinance establishing New Boundary Wards (MUST be adopted before 120 days pursuant to Charter and 125 days per AB1730).

# EXHIBIT 2: CURRENT WARD BOUNDARIES



## City of Santa Ana Council Wards

Adopted January 17, 2012








# EXHIBIT 3: PROPOSED WARD MAPS PLANS A-D

City of Santa Ana


Plan A



PLAN A	A	B	C	D	E	F
Population	54,539	54,399	53,751	53,680	54,038	54,176
Deviation	441	301	(347)	(418)	(60)	78
	1%	1%	-1%	-1%	0%	0%
18+ Citizens (CVAP)	27,031	20,808	27,179	25,585	17,295	22,526
	50%	38%	51%	48%	32%	42%
Latino CVAP	11,893	15,300	13,168	14,900	14,170	14,636
	44%	74%	48%	58%	82%	65%
White CVAP	2,915	2,345	10,335	5,373	1,807	4,622
	11%	11%	38%	21%	10%	21%
African American CVAP	263	444	394	748	93	616
	1%	2%	1%	3%	1%	3%
Asian CVAP	11,494	2,525	2,776	4,267	995	2,317
	43%	12%	10%	17%	6%	10%

# City of Santa Ana


## Plan B



PLAN B	A	B	C	D	E	F
Population	53,864	54,497	54,367	53,794	54,269	53,792
Deviation	(234)	399	269	(304)	171	(306)
	0%	1%	0%	-1%	0%	-1%
18+ Citizens (CVAP)	27,760	26,316	26,164	22,589	22,235	15,360
	52%	48%	48%	42%	41%	29%
Latino CVAP	11,212	15,234	17,814	15,467	11,933	12,407
	40%	58%	68%	68%	54%	81%
White CVAP	3,216	5,529	5,061	4,200	7,637	1,748
	12%	21%	19%	19%	34%	11%
African American CVAP	269	751	508	393	445	182
	1%	3%	2%	2%	2%	1%
Asian CVAP	12,482	4,486	2,407	2,163	1,938	898
	45%	17%	9%	10%	9%	6%

# City of Santa Ana


## Plan C



PLAN C	A	B	C	D	E	F
Population	53,852	53,158	55,083	54,848	53,494	54,148
Deviation	(246)	(940)	985	750	(604)	50
	0%	-2%	2%	1%	-1%	0%
18+ Citizens (CVAP)	29,015	23,568	20,253	22,779	27,823	16,981
	54%	44%	37%	42%	52%	31%
Latino CVAP	11,277	15,473	14,647	16,220	13,426	13,029
	39%	66%	72%	71%	48%	77%
White CVAP	4,012	4,101	2,507	4,161	10,533	2,077
	14%	17%	12%	18%	38%	12%
African American CVAP	283	712	487	330	423	313
	1%	3%	2%	1%	2%	2%
Asian CVAP	12,846	3,057	2,450	1,706	2,905	1,410
	44%	13%	12%	7%	10%	8%

# City of Santa Ana

## Plan D



PLAN D	A	B	C	D	E	F
Population	53,515	52,395	52,744	56,104	54,425	55,402
Deviation	(583)	(1,703)	(1,354)	2,006	327	1,304
	-1%	-3%	-3%	4%	1%	2%
18+ Citizens (CVAP)	26,472	22,302	14,018	28,648	25,658	23,321
	49%	43%	27%	51%	47%	42%
Latino CVAP	10,813	14,772	10,901	15,789	16,595	15,187
	41%	66%	78%	55%	65%	65%
White CVAP	3,058	4,651	1,799	9,696	5,332	2,861
	12%	21%	13%	34%	21%	12%
African American CVAP	193	459	193	444	429	840
	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	4%
Asian CVAP	11,776	2,182	964	2,354	2,964	4,134
	44%	10%	7%	8%	12%	18%

**EXHIBIT 4:  
CITY OF SANTA ANA CHARTER PROVISIONS**

**Sec. 101.1. - Wards of the city.**

Prior to the general election in 1988 the City Council shall divide the City into six (6) wards by ordinance according to the following formula:

Each of the wards is to be as nearly equal in population as possible. The wards shall be composed of contiguous and compact territory and bounded by natural boundaries of street lines wherever possible. Any territory hereafter annexed to or consolidated with the City shall become a part of the ward to which it is most contiguous, pending any redistricting necessary to promote equality of population among the wards.

(Prop. of 4-9-57, approved on 4-16-57; Prop. of 2-4-63, approved on 4-2-63; Res. No. 63-027; Ord. No. NS-1316, 8-24-76, approved at election 11-2-76; Ord. No. NS-1521, 3-17-80, approved at election 6-3-80; Ord. No. NS-1864, 8-19-86, approved at election 11-4-86)

**Sec. 101.2. - Wards, boundary changes.**

Ward boundaries shall not be altered except as reasonably necessary to promote greater equality of population among the wards when such necessity is shown by the most recent federal decennial census, or by more current census data certified by the City Council as sufficiently reliable and detailed to serve as a basis for ward boundary alteration, or by annexation or consolidation of territory. ***Such boundary alteration shall be made only by ordinance adopted by affirmative vote of at least two-thirds ( 2/3 ) of the full membership of the City Council in accordance with section 101.4.*** Any such ordinance adopted within one hundred twenty (120) days immediately preceding any election of council members shall not become effective until the day following such election.

(Prop. of 4-9-57, approved on 4-16-57; Prop. of 2-4-63, approved on 4-2-63; Res. No. 63-027; Ord. No. NS-1316, 8-24-76, approved at election 11-2-76)

**Sec. 101.4. - Same—Notice and public hearing.**

Before making any change, modification or realignment of ward boundaries or numbers of wards, the City Council ***shall*** pass a resolution declaring its intention to make such changes. Such resolution shall set forth a convenient day, hour and place when and where any persons having any interest therein, or any objection to the making thereof, may appear before the City Council and be heard thereon. ***The City Council shall direct the Clerk of the Council to give notice by publishing said resolution once a week for two (2) weeks in the official newspaper prior to the date set for hearing. Said hearing in the event of increasing the number of wards shall be held not less than sixty (60) days prior to the succeeding general municipal election. In any event, not less than thirty (30) days prior to the general municipal election, publication shall be made by map diagram in the official newspaper showing the new boundary lines of each and every ward.***



**EXHIBIT 5:  
ELECTION CODE 10010 Requirements:**

**Before Maps Drawn - Two Public Hearings** required before drawing boundary districts to seek input regarding the process of the districts – explain the process and encourage participation.

**After Maps Drawn** – *Publish draft map and hold two public hearings within 45 days.* Publish at least 7 days before consideration at a hearing. If revised, re-publish before adopting.

+++++

**DIVISION 10. LOCAL, SPECIAL, VACANCY, AND CONSOLIDATED  
ELECTIONS [10000 - 10735]**

*( Division 10 enacted by Stats. 1994, Ch. 920, Sec. 2. )*

**PART 1. GENERAL PROVISIONS [10000 - 10010]**

*( Part 1 enacted by Stats. 1994, Ch. 920, Sec. 2. )*

**CHAPTER 2. District Boundaries [10010- 10010.]**

*( Chapter 2 added by Stats. 2014, Ch. 873, Sec. 1. )*

**10010.**

(a) A political subdivision that changes from an at-large method of election to a district-based election, or that establishes district-based elections, shall do all of the following ***before a public hearing*** at which the governing body of the political subdivision votes to approve or defeat an ordinance establishing district-based elections:

(1) Before drawing a draft map or maps of the proposed boundaries of the districts, the political subdivision shall hold at least **two public hearings** over a period of no more than 30 days, at which the public is invited to provide input regarding the *composition of the districts*. Before these hearings, the political subdivision may conduct outreach to the public, including to non-English-speaking communities, *to explain the districting process and to encourage public participation*.

(2) After all draft maps are drawn, the political subdivision shall publish and make available for release at least one draft map and, if members of the governing body of the political subdivision will be elected in their districts at different times to provide for staggered terms of office, the potential sequence of the elections. The political subdivision shall also hold at least ***two additional hearings*** over a period of no more than 45 days, at which the public is invited to provide input regarding the content of the draft map or maps and the proposed sequence of elections, if applicable. The first version of a draft map shall be **published at least seven days before consideration at a hearing**. If a draft map is revised at or following a hearing, it shall be published and made available to the public for at least seven days before being adopted.

(b) In determining the final sequence of the district elections conducted in a political subdivision in which members of the governing body will be elected at different times to provide for staggered terms of office, the governing body shall give special

consideration to the purposes of the California Voting Rights Act of 2001, and it shall take into account the preferences expressed by members of the districts.

(c) This section applies to, but is not limited to, a proposal that is required due to a court-imposed change from an at-large method of election to a district-based election.

(d) For purposes of this section, the following terms have the following meanings:

(1) "At-large method of election" has the same meaning as set forth in subdivision (a) of Section 14026.

(2) "District-based election" has the same meaning as set forth in subdivision (b) of Section 14026.

(3) "Political subdivision" has the same meaning as set forth in subdivision (c) of Section 14026.

(e) (1) Before commencing an action to enforce Sections 14027 and 14028, a prospective plaintiff shall send by **certified mail a** written notice to the clerk of the political subdivision against which the action would be brought asserting that the political subdivision's method of conducting elections may violate the California Voting Rights Act of 2001.

(2) A prospective plaintiff shall not commence an action to enforce Sections 14027 and 14028 within 45 days of the political subdivision's receipt of the written notice described in paragraph (1).

(3) (A) Before receiving a written notice described in paragraph (1), or within 45 days of receipt of a notice, a political subdivision may pass a resolution outlining its intention to transition from at-large to district-based elections, specific steps it will undertake to facilitate this transition, and an estimated time frame for doing so.

(B) If a political subdivision passes a resolution pursuant to subparagraph (A), a prospective plaintiff shall not commence an action to enforce Sections 14027 and 14028 within 90 days of the resolution's passage.

(f) (1) If a political subdivision adopts an ordinance establishing district-based elections pursuant to subdivision (a), a prospective plaintiff who sent a written notice pursuant to paragraph (1) of subdivision (e) before the political subdivision passed its resolution of intention may, within 30 days of the ordinance's adoption, demand reimbursement for the cost of the work product generated to support the notice. A prospective plaintiff shall make the demand in writing and shall substantiate the demand with financial documentation, such as a detailed invoice for demography services. A political subdivision may request additional documentation if the provided documentation is insufficient to corroborate the claimed costs. A political subdivision shall reimburse a prospective plaintiff for reasonable costs claimed, or in an amount to which the parties mutually agree, within 45 days of receiving the written demand, except as provided in paragraph (2). In all cases, the amount of the reimbursement shall not exceed the cap described in paragraph (3).

(2) If more than one prospective plaintiff is entitled to reimbursement, the political subdivision shall reimburse the prospective plaintiffs in the order in which they sent a written notice pursuant to paragraph (1) of subdivision (e), and the 45-day time period described in paragraph (1) shall apply only to reimbursement of the first prospective plaintiff who sent a written notice. The cumulative amount of reimbursements to all prospective plaintiffs shall not exceed the cap described in paragraph (3).

(3) The amount of reimbursement required by this section is capped at \$30,000, as adjusted annually to the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers, United States city average, as published by the United States Department of Labor.

*(Amended by Stats. 2017, Ch. 561, Sec. 51. (AB 1516) Effective January 1, 2018.)*



# CITY OF SANATA ANA - PLAN A

## Population | US CENSUS

	Population	%	Deviation
A	54,539	0.8%	441
B	54,399	0.6%	301
C	53,751	-0.6%	(347)
D	53,680	-0.8%	(418)
E	54,038	-0.1%	(60)
F	54,176	0.1%	78

## Estimated Total Current Population | American Community Survey

	ACS Population		ACS White		ACS Black		ACS American Indian		ACS Asian		ACS Latino	
A	56,010	103%	20,097	36%	452	1%	181	0%	17,129	31%	34,503	62%
B	57,618	106%	22,097	38%	626	1%	334	1%	3,719	6%	50,374	87%
C	54,613	102%	30,882	57%	812	1%	433	1%	4,071	7%	37,442	69%
D	54,733	102%	31,560	58%	842	2%	359	1%	6,286	11%	41,021	75%
E	53,783	100%	30,993	58%	150	0%	189	0%	1,404	3%	49,738	92%
F	56,177	104%	30,075	54%	974	2%	249	0%	3,439	6%	46,034	82%

## Estimate Total US Citizens (All Ages) | American Community Survey

	ACS US Citizen		ACS US_Latino		ACS US_Not Latino		ACS US_White		ACS US_Blak		ACS US_Aslan	
A	40,447	72%	21,795	54%	18,661	46%	3,248	8%	318	1%	14,382	36%
B	36,412	63%	30,209	83%	6,203	17%	2,605	7%	459	1%	2,909	8%
C	41,535	76%	25,148	61%	16,409	40%	11,447	28%	635	2%	3,527	8%
D	40,086	73%	27,393	68%	12,691	32%	6,000	15%	789	2%	5,496	14%
E	33,167	62%	29,460	89%	3,710	11%	2,130	6%	103	0%	1,170	4%
F	37,805	67%	28,741	76%	9,056	24%	5,078	13%	781	2%	2,755	7%

\*Percentage based on total ACS Population

## Estimate Citizen Voting Age Population (Age 18+ US Citizens) | American Community Survey

	ACS US_18+		ACS US_H18+		ACS US_NH18+		ACS US_White 18+		ACS US_Blak18+		ACS US_Aslan18+	
A	27,026	67%	11,883	44%	15,141	56%	2,915	11%	263	1%	11,494	43%
B	20,813	38%	15,300	74%	5,506	26%	2,345	11%	444	2%	2,525	12%
C	27,174	51%	13,168	48%	14,030	52%	10,335	38%	396	1%	2,776	10%
D	25,585	48%	14,905	58%	10,682	42%	5,378	21%	754	3%	4,272	17%
E	17,295	32%	14,155	82%	3,116	18%	1,808	10%	93	1%	995	6%
F	22,526	42%	14,641	65%	7,872	35%	4,616	20%	609	3%	2,332	10%

\*Percentage based on total ACS Population

EXHIBIT 6

# CITY OF SANATA ANA - PLAN B

## Population | US CENSUS

	Population	%	Deviation
A	53,864	-0.4%	(234)
B	54,497	0.7%	399
C	54,367	0.5%	269
D	53,794	-0.6%	(304)
E	54,269	0.3%	171
F	53,792	-0.6%	(306)

## Estimated Total Current Population | American Community Survey

	ACS Population		ACS White		ACS Black		ACS American Indian		ACS Asian		ACS Latino	
A	55,749	103%	18,499	33%	427	1%	188	0%	18,065	32%	32,840	59%
B	54,248	100%	31,405	58%	882	2%	306	1%	6,856	13%	39,797	73%
C	57,385	106%	26,952	47%	718	1%	321	1%	3,519	6%	46,679	81%
D	56,093	104%	23,468	42%	618	1%	242	0%	3,440	6%	46,894	84%
E	55,391	102%	33,720	61%	850	2%	453	1%	2,793	5%	42,552	77%
F	54,068	101%	31,660	59%	361	1%	235	0%	1,375	3%	50,350	93%

## Estimate Total US Citizens (All Ages) | American Community Survey

	ACS US Citizen		ACS US_Latino		ACS US_Not Latino		ACS US_White		ACS US_Blk		ACS US_Asian	
A	40,921	73%	20,822	51%	20,117	49%	3,569	9%	319	1%	15,392	38%
B	40,284	74%	27,002	67%	13,285	33%	6,118	15%	799	2%	5,874	15%
C	40,754	71%	31,142	76%	9,614	24%	5,592	14%	657	2%	2,882	7%
D	37,716	67%	29,346	78%	8,350	22%	4,762	13%	408	1%	2,735	7%
E	37,953	69%	25,934	68%	12,048	32%	8,560	23%	707	2%	2,297	6%
F	31,799	59%	28,505	90%	3,311	10%	1,907	6%	198	1%	1,054	3%

\*Percentage based on total ACS Population

## Estimate Citizen Voting Age Population (Age 18+ US Citizens) | American Community Survey

	ACS US_18+		ACS US_H18+		ACS US_NH18+		ACS US_White 18+		ACS US_Blk18+		ACS US_Asian18+	
A	27,755	68%	11,202	40%	16,555	60%	3,216	12%	269	1%	12,482	45%
B	26,321	48%	15,239	58%	11,091	42%	5,534	21%	751	3%	4,486	17%
C	26,164	48%	17,809	68%	8,336	32%	5,061	19%	505	2%	2,417	9%
D	22,589	42%	15,472	68%	7,069	31%	4,200	19%	393	2%	2,163	10%
E	22,235	41%	11,933	54%	10,335	46%	7,637	34%	447	2%	1,943	9%
F	15,365	29%	12,402	81%	2,971	19%	1,754	11%	188	1%	898	6%

\*Percentage based on total ACS Population

# CITY OF SANATA ANA - PLAN C

## Population | US CENSUS

	Population	%	Deviation
A	53,852	-0.5%	(246)
B	53,158	-1.7%	(940)
C	55,083	1.8%	985
D	54,848	1.4%	750
E	53,494	-1.1%	(604)
F	54,148	0.1%	50

## Estimated Total Current Population | American Community Survey

	ACS Population		ACS White		ACS Black		ACS American Indian		ACS Asian		ACS Latino	
A	56,563	105%	20,403	36%	323	1%	281	0%	18,661	33%	32,155	57%
B	53,517	101%	29,649	55%	839	2%	251	0%	4,929	9%	42,751	80%
C	57,352	104%	22,718	40%	783	1%	246	0%	3,629	6%	49,897	87%
D	56,474	103%	30,263	54%	444	1%	255	0%	2,399	4%	48,248	85%
E	54,655	102%	31,972	58%	847	2%	441	1%	4,219	8%	37,043	68%
F	54,373	100%	30,699	56%	620	1%	271	0%	2,221	4%	49,018	90%

## Estimate Total US Citizens (All Ages) | American Community Survey

	ACS US Citizen		ACS US_Latino		ACS US_Not Latino		ACS US_White		ACS US_Blk		ACS US_Asian	
A	42,404	75%	20,834	49%	21,562	51%	4,401	10%	319	1%	15,990	38%
B	37,756	71%	27,968	74%	9,790	26%	4,625	12%	752	2%	4,096	11%
C	36,272	63%	29,927	83%	6,361	18%	2,837	8%	522	1%	2,751	8%
D	37,764	67%	30,261	80%	7,512	20%	4,706	12%	376	1%	1,997	5%
E	41,834	77%	25,034	60%	16,817	40%	11,662	28%	665	2%	3,651	9%
F	33,407	61%	28,727	86%	4,683	14%	2,272	7%	460	1%	1,744	5%

\*Percentage based on total ACS Population

## Estimate Citizen Voting Age Population (Age 18+ US Citizens) | American Community Survey

	ACS US_18+		ACS US_H18+		ACS US_NH18+		ACS US_White 18+		ACS US_Blk18+		ACS US_Asian18+	
A	29,010	68%	11,267	39%	17,730	61%	4,017	14%	283	1%	12,851	44%
B	23,573	44%	15,478	66%	8,097	34%	4,096	17%	718	3%	3,052	13%
C	20,253	37%	14,647	72%	5,617	28%	2,507	12%	487	2%	2,450	12%
D	22,784	42%	16,210	71%	6,560	29%	4,161	18%	331	1%	1,711	8%
E	27,818	52%	13,426	48%	14,408	52%	10,533	38%	425	2%	2,900	10%
F	16,986	31%	13,034	77%	3,950	23%	2,078	12%	320	2%	1,415	8%

\*Percentage based on total ACS Population

# CITY OF SANATA ANA - PLAN D

## Population | US CENSUS

	Population	%	Deviation
A	53,515	-1.1%	(583)
B	52,395	-3.1%	(1,703)
C	52,744	-2.5%	(1,354)
D	56,104	3.7%	2,006
E	54,425	0.6%	327
F	55,402	2.4%	1,304

## Estimated Total Current Population | American Community Survey

	ACS Population		ACS White		ACS Black		ACS American Indian		ACS Asian		ACS Latino	
A	54,867	103%	17,864	33%	252	0%	193	0%	17,046	31%	33,139	83%
B	55,469	106%	30,712	55%	725	1%	294	1%	3,015	5%	46,026	122%
C	50,827	96%	30,230	59%	310	1%	157	0%	1,444	3%	46,683	156%
D	58,013	103%	27,588	48%	851	1%	469	1%	3,775	7%	42,084	97%
E	56,119	103%	29,603	53%	568	1%	330	1%	4,593	8%	44,323	111%
F	57,640	104%	29,707	52%	1,150	2%	302	1%	6,175	11%	46,858	120%

## Estimate Total US Citizens (All Ages) | American Community Survey

	ACS US Citizen		ACS US_Latino		ACS US_Not Latino		ACS US_White		ACS US_Blak		ACS US_Asian	
A	39,739	72%	20,709	52%	19,030	48%	3,421	9%	223	1%	14,507	37%
B	37,611	68%	29,111	77%	8,526	23%	5,085	14%	580	2%	2,500	7%
C	29,919	59%	26,208	88%	3,695	12%	2,082	7%	253	1%	1,099	4%
D	43,327	75%	28,333	65%	14,997	35%	10,767	25%	644	1%	3,020	7%
E	39,906	71%	29,196	73%	10,716	27%	5,997	15%	468	1%	3,854	10%
F	38,946	68%	29,179	75%	9,776	25%	3,151	8%	921	2%	5,254	13%

\*Percentage based on total ACS Population

## Estimate Citizen Voting Age Population (Age 18+ US Citizens) | American Community Survey

	ACS US_18+		ACS US_H18+		ACS US_NH18+		ACS US_White 18+		ACS US_Blak18+		ACS US_Asian18+	
A	26,467	67%	10,803	41%	15,657	59%	3,058	12%	193	1%	11,776	44%
B	22,302	43%	14,777	66%	7,547	34%	4,651	21%	459	2%	2,182	10%
C	14,023	27%	10,901	78%	3,114	22%	1,799	13%	193	1%	964	7%
D	28,648	51%	15,789	55%	12,855	45%	9,696	34%	444	2%	2,354	8%
E	25,663	47%	16,595	65%	9,047	35%	5,337	21%	423	2%	2,964	12%
F	23,321	42%	15,192	65%	8,137	35%	2,861	12%	846	4%	4,139	18%

\*Percentage based on total ACS Population